

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

()				
P E GARPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/29/2003	Franck Olstowski	96600/19UTL	3100	
MAR 0 8 2006 8 7590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
ROBERT W STROZIER, P.L.L.C		SNAY, JEFFREY R		
PO BOX 429 BELLAIRE, TX 77402-0429		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1743		

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE 4							
			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
• 75	Advisory Action	MAR 0 8 2006	10/674,269	OLSTOWSKI, FRAN	IEK		
	Before the Filing of an Appe	al Brief	Byaminer	Art Unit			
	Before the Filing of an Appe	TRADE NO	Jeffrey R. Snay	1743			
	The MAILING DATE of this com			correspondence add	ress		
THE	REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS T						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection,	but prior to or on	the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aba	ndonment of		
	this application, applicant must timely fil	e one of the follow	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft	idavit, or other eviden	ce, which		
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
	time periods:						
	The period for reply expires 3 months fr			in the final rejection, whi	ichover is later. In		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee							
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed.							
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	ICE OF APPEAL	A brief in some	diamas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	so of the date of		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since							
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sneet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered							
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and							
9 6	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR The affidavit or other evidence filed afte		a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief.	will not be		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
Jeffrey R. Snay							
				Primary Examiner			
Art Unit: 1743							
I							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the term "adapted" proposed for claim 1 is without clear meaning and scope, and in any event would constitute a new issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they either argue method limitations regarding apparatus claims or for the reasons already provided in the last Office action...